

Effects of the MuCell[®] Molding Process

Molding MuCell versus Solid

- Shot size is reduced
- Final mold fill is completed with cell growth
- Little or no Hold Time or Pressure
 - Reduced molded-in stress
 - Less warp and bow
 - Lower clamp tonnage
 - Less tool wear
 - No need to size runner/gates for pack pressure

Designing for the MuCell® Process

- The MuCell® process allows for shorter cycle times and lighter parts as well as less molded in stress
- In order to take full advantage of these benefits attention needs to be paid to the mold design:
 - Reduced polymer mass in the sprues and runners
 - Optimized mold filling patterns
 - Increased venting
 - Perimeter
 - Blind pockets
 - Uniform cooling

Hot Sprues

- Valve gated hot sprue is preferred to cold sprues
 - Moves point of nucleation from the nozzle tip to the tip of the hot sprue
 - Improved control of cell structure
 - Best for minimizing cycle time
 - Must be able to withstand 3500 psi pressure when closed
 - Gate sizing should reflect reduced viscosity
 - Typical sizes: 1mm to 5mm in diameter
 - Non-valve gated hot sprues can not be used with the MuCell process

Hot Sprues and Runners

- Valve gated hot runner systems are preferred
 - Must stay closed against 3500 psi pressure
 - Need to be naturally flow balanced
 - Individual control of valve gates provides best control
- The following systems have been used successfully with the MuCell process
 - DME
 - Mold Masters
 - Incoe
 - Husky
 - Synventive

Do Not Use Non Valve-Gated Runner Systems

Cold Sprues and Runners

- Cold sprue and runner diameters need to be sized to fill the part with acceptable injection pressure
 - Account for reduced polymer viscosity
 - Account for potential faster injection velocity
- Sprue and runner diameters do not need to be sized for packing plastic through the runner and into mold during the hold phase
 - Cell growth replaces the traditional pack/hold phase of the molding process
 - This should allow for significant reduction in runner diameter
- Potentially size reduction up to 50%

Cold Sprues

- Minimize the mass of the material in the sprue
 - Directly linked to cycle time
- The length of cold sprues should be minimized:
 - < 50 mm if possible
 - Need to take into consideration nozzle penetration length and nozzle diameter
- Typical Shut-Off Nozzle Dia.: 3 mm
 - Sprue can be Nozzle ID plus 0.8 to 1.5 mm
 - Standard draft angle - 2-6 degrees inc. angle
- Increase strength at the intersection of the sprue and runner by:
 - Adding 2- 3 mm radius at base
 - Add gussets, 1.5mm X 5 mm up the sprue and along the runner

Cold Sprues

Options for Improved Cooling for Large Sprues:

- Use a high thermal conductivity sprue bushing
- Put a water circuit in or near the sprue bushing
- Extend cooling pin into the sprue to minimize material mass
 - This pin should also be made of a high conductivity material
 - Eject with sleeve

Mold Design - Gating

- Gate Designs
 - Sub-gating into ribs or ejector pins can help hide gate blemishes
 - Traditional designs OK-
 - Add radii at runner
 - Tab gates, pin gates and fan gates work well
 - Start with 1 mm land
 - Direct sprue gating typically results in surface blemish around the sprue

Mold Design - Gating

- Gating should be located to provide a uniform fill pattern
 - Lowest cavity pressure
 - Maximum weight reduction
- Preferred Gate Location- flow from thin to thick sections
 - Maximum weight reduction
- The MuCell process is more likely to cause blemish around the gate
 - Venting and texture improve
 - Possible to improve with process- this will affect weight reduction

Mold Design - Venting

- Areas of gas trap in solid parts may be worse with MuCell®
 - Typically faster injection rates
 - Need to vent air in the cavity plus gas liberated during the molding process
- Cavity Perimeter Vents
 - Widths should be doubled
 - Depths can be 50% to 75% deeper
 - Length should be as short as possible
 - Generous relief channels
- Runner Vents at all transitions

Mold Design - Venting

- Venting of thin deep ribs
 - Use existing ejector pins for venting
 - Add venting ejector pins or blades to ribs and bosses
 - Increase rib thickness to 80% to 100% of adjacent wall
 - Prevents backflow into the rib or gusset that can trap gas
 - The MuCell process eliminates sink marks
- Venting Bosses
 - Use flats, sized to material supplier recommendations
 - Clean periodically

Mold Design - Cooling

- As with solid molding, the ability to remove heat dictates cycle time
 - With shorter cycles, cooling hot spots become more critical
- Insufficiently cooled MuCell® parts may display post blow
 - If the part temperature remains near the Tg the part is susceptible to post blow
 - Areas where this occurs are:
 - Thick sections
 - Hot spots in mold
 - Uncooled core pins
- Standard cooling practices should be followed to obtain uniform mold temperatures

Mold Design - Cooling

- Cooling recommendations to optimize cycle times
 - Mold Inserts should be cooled
 - Direct water lines
 - Cooling pins can be used to draw away heat
 - These should be tied into water lines
 - Standing steel, with H/W ratios greater than 3:1 should be cooled
 - Adjacent water line
 - Bubblers, baffles or thermal pins
 - Slides should have water lines

Mold Design - Mold Finish

- The MuCell® process will not provide a high gloss part
 - Highly polished molds will not result in a high gloss finish with the MuCell process
- Ribs and bosses should be draw polished
- Textures will improve surface finish
 - Reduce surface cell distortion effects
 - Improves venting of liberated gas

Mold Design - Materials of Construction

- No corrosive gases added in MuCell process
 - No special steels required
- With highly filled materials, we recommend considering specialty steel coatings/treatments
- Reduced clamp tonnage allows for the potential use of softer metals
 - Pre-hardened steels
 - Faster machining/lower cost
 - Aluminum
 - Significant cooling improvements
- Selective use of high heat conductivity materials

Part Design - Wall Thickness

- There are few limitations to wall thickness with the MuCell® process
 - Parts produced anywhere from 0.3 mm to 30 mm
 - Focus is on parts at 4.0 mm or less
 - Parts with wall thicknesses above 4 mm could have a longer cycle time than for solid parts due to post blow
- The MuCell process will also allow for :
 - Greater variations in wall thickness across a part
 - Longer flow lengths

Part Design - Wall Thickness

- Weight reduction is highly dependent on flow factor - Ratio of Flow Length to Part Thickness
- Weight reduction also dependent on
- Part Thickness
- Material
- Gate Location

